

MARITIME LAW AND POLICY REVIEW

VOLUME 2 AND ISSUE 1 OF 2024

INSTITUTE OF LEGAL EDUCATION

MARITIME LAW AND POLICY REVIEW

APIS – 3920-0016 & ISSN - 2583-8008

(OPEN ACCESS JOURNAL)

Journal's Home Page – https://mlpr.iledu.in/

Journal's Editorial Page - https://mlpr.iledu.in/editorial-board/

Volume 1 and Issue 1 (Access Full Issue on - https://mlpr.iledu.in/category/volume-1-and-issue-1-of-2023/)

Publisher

Prasanna S,

Chairman of Institute of Legal Education

No. 08, Arul Nagar, Seera Thoppu,

Maudhanda Kurichi, Srirangam,

Tiruchirappalli – 620102

Phone : +91 94896 71437 - info@iledu.in / Chairman@iledu.in



© Institute of Legal Education

Copyright Disclaimer: All rights are reserve with Institute of Legal Education. No part of the material published on this website (Articles or Research Papers including those published in this journal) may be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted in any form or by any means, including photocopying, recording, or other electronic or mechanical methods, without the prior written permission of the publisher. For more details refer https://mlpr.iledu.in/terms-and-condition/

MARITIME LAW AND POLICY REVIEW

VOLUME 2 AND ISSUE 1 OF 2024

APIS – 3920-0016 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-8008

Published by

Institute of Legal Education

https://iledu.in

COMPARATIVE STUDIES OF INSURANCE FRAUD INDIA AND UK

AUTHOR - LALITHAMBIGA S* & MS. T. VAISHALI**, STUDENT* & FACULTY OF LAW** AT SOEL, THE TAMILNADU DR. AMBEKAR LAW UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI

BEST CITATION - LALITHAMBIGA S & MS. T. VAISHALI, COMPARATIVE STUDIES OF INSURANCE FRAUD INDIA AND UK, *MARITIME LAW AND POLICY REVIEW*, 1 (1) OF 2023, PG. 01-06, APIS – 3920-0016 & ISSN - 2583-8008

ABSTRACT

Insurance fraud is a significant issue both India and Uk manifesting through various schemes and tactics that exploit vulnerabilities in the insurance systems the comparative analysis highlights the key aspects of insurance fraud in these two countries prevalence types of insurance fraud regulatory mechanism Insurance fraud, which involves deliberate deception for financial gain, is a growing concern in both nations, albeit with distinct characteristics and challenges. In India, fraud is commonly seen in motor, health, and life insurance sectors, with factors such as low literacy, complex claims processes, and lack of awareness contributing to its rise. In contrast, the UK faces a more sophisticated fraud landscape, including exaggerated claims, staged accidents, and organized fraud, facilitated by advanced technologies like data analytics and fraud detection systems. The study also explores the regulatory frameworks in both countries: India relies on the Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority (IRDAI) and the Indian Penal Code, while the UK benefits from well-established systems such as the Fraud Act 2006, the Insurance Fraud Bureau (IFB), and the Insurance Fraud Enforcement Department (IFED). The findings highlight the differences in legal enforcement, technological adoption, and awareness between the two nations, suggesting that while the UK has made significant strides in combating fraud, India faces challenges in building robust mechanisms to address the growing issue of insurance fraud.

INTRODUCTION

Insurance fraud, a criminal activity where individuals or groups deliberately deceive insurers to gain financial benefits, is a pervasive problem that affects the global insurance industry. This phenomenon occurs across various types of insurance, including life, health, motor, and property insurance, and results in significant financial losses for insurers, which are often passed on to consumers through higher premiums. The scale and nature of insurance fraud can vary widely depending on the region, with differences in regulatory frameworks, technological advancements, and public awareness influencing the prevalence and detection of fraudulent activities. This comparative study explores insurance fraud in two distinct geographical contexts: **India** and the **United Kingdom (UK)**. Both countries face insurance fraud challenges, yet the dynamics and responses to this issue are shaped by their unique socio-economic, legal, and technological landscapes. By exploring the similarities and difference in the fraud types, detection mechanisms, regulatory frameworks, and cultural contexts, this study aims to identify best practices and potential areas for improvement. Such a comparison not only highlights the progress and challenges of each nation but also offers insights into developing effective strategies for fraud prevention and management in the global insurance industry.

DEFINITION OF INSURANCE

Insurance is co-operative device to spread the loss caused by a particular risk over number of persons, who are exposed to it and aho agree to insure themselves against the risk. This the insurance is (a) a co-operative device to

spread the risk;(b) the system to spread the risk over a number 0f persons who are insured aganist the risk;(c) the principle to share the loss of each number of the society on the basis of probability of loss to their risk (d)the method to provide security against losses to the insured.

Insurance fraud

Insurance fraud involves illegal actions taken by both policyholder's and Insurance providers might sell policies from fake companies, skip premium payment or exploit policies for extra commissions. policyholders may commit fraud through inflated claims, fake medical histories, backdated policies, viatical fraud, faked deaths or kidnapping.

COMMON TYPES OF INSURANCE FRAUD IN THE UK

The most common types of insurance fraud in the UK include:

1. Opportunistic Fraud: Individuals exaggerate claims or provide false information due to financial pressures, with a reported 61% increase recently

2. Ghost Broking: Fraudsters sell fake or altered insurance policies, often through social media, leading to significant financial losses for victims

3. Staged Accidents: This includes "crash for cash" schemes where accidents are deliberately caused to claim insurance payouts

4. False Property Claims: Fraudsters claim for non-existent damages or losses, particularly in home insurance

5. Personal Injury Claims: Fraudulent claims often arise from aggressive marketing tactics by claims management companies

COMMON TYPES OF INSURANCE FRAUD IN INDIA

1. Misleading Claims

Policyholders exaggerate the extent of damage, loss, or injuries to increase claim amounts.

Example: Claiming a minor car dent as extensive damage to receive a higher payout.

2. Fabricated Accidents

Creating fake or staged accidents to make fraudulent claims.

Ex: Deliberately colliding vehicles or setting up a fake accident scene.

3. Falsified Records

Forging or altering documents to manipulate claim approvals.

Ex: Submitting fake invoices, medical bills, or property ownership papers.

4. Premium Deviation

Misrepresenting details to pay lower insurance premiums or manipulating premium calculations.

Ex: Providing false information about age, health, or vehicle usage to get a cheaper policy.

5. Photo Manipulation

Altering photos to create evidence of damage or loss for a fraudulent claim.

Ex: Editing images to show a damaged property or vehicle when no such damage exists.

6. Numerous Assertions

Filing multiple claims for the same incident across different policies or insurers.

Ex: Claiming compensation from multiple insurers for a single vehicle theft.

7. Identity Fraud

Using someone else's identity to buy insurance policies or file claims.

Ex: Applying for a policy using stolen identity documents and making fraudulent claim.

REGULATORY FRAMEWORK IN INDIA AND THE UK

The regulatory framework for insurance fraud in both India and the UK plays a critical role in preventing, detecting, and addressing fraudulent activities in the insurance industry. While both countries have distinct systems, they share a common goal of protecting insurers, policyholders, and maintaining the integrity of the insurance market.

1. Regulatory Framework in India

Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India (IRDAI) The primary body responsible for regulating the insurance industry in India is the Insurance industry in India is the insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India its main duties include promoting fair practices, protecting policyholders' interests, and ensuring the industry's growth. The IRDAI sets the regulatory framework within which insurers must operate, including rules for handling insurance fraud

IRDAI'S ROLE IN FRAUD PREVENTION:

FRAUD MANGEMENT GUILDLINES; IRDAI has issued guidelines for insurers to establish an anti-fraud framework these include the implementation of fraud detection systems, regular audits, and a clear protocol for investing and reporting fraud.

REGULATION OF AGENTS AND INTERMEDIARIES; The authority also regulates insurance agents and intermediaries, ensuring that they adhere to ethical guidelines and avoid misleading practices or fraud

CONSUMER PROTECTION; IRDAI mandates insurers to disclose all material facts to policyholders and encourages transparency in the claim process to prevent fraud.

INSURANCE LAWS AND ACTS IN INDIA

The Insurance Act 1938; This fundamental legislation governs the operations of insurance companies in India and includes provisions related to fraud, such as penal actions for fraudulent claims.

The Motor Vehicles act, 1988; It governs motor insurance and lays down procedure for handing motor fraud claims, including staging accidents or making false theft reports.

Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS); Fraudulent activities can also be prosecuted under various sections of the BNS including forgery, cheating, and criminal conspiracy, which are used to address severe cases of insurance fraud

REGULATORY FRAMEWORK IN THE UK

FINANCIAL CONMDUCT AUTHORITY; The financial conduct authority is the main regulator overseeing the insurance industry in UK. The CA'S role is to ensure that insure that insurers act in a way that is fair, transparent, and complaint with the law. Itt has stringent rules to prevent fraud and unethical practices in the insurance.

PRUDENTIAL REGULATION AUTHORITY (PRA); part of thew bank of England, regulates insurers from a financial stability perspective. While the FRA is more focused on the solvency and financial soundness of insurance companies, it also plays a role in ensuring insurers have robust anti-fraud systems to prevent financial damage from fraudulent activities.

INSURANCE FRZAUD BUREAU (IFB)

The insurance fraud bureau is a key institution in the UK fight against insurance fraud the IFB works closely with insurers, law enforcement, and other stakeholders to identify and fraudulent claims. It manages the insurance fraud register (IFR).

Which helps insurers share information about fraudulent claims by the same individuals.

IFB'S A ROLE IN FRUAD PREVENTION

Collaboration with insurers; the IFB facilitates the sharing of data and intelligence on fraudulent activities across insurers, improving detection capabilities.

Investigation and Enforcement; the IFB work with law enforcement agencies to investigate organized fraud and prosecute offenders.

Public Awareness campaigns; the IFB conducts awareness campaigns to educate the public about the consequences of insurance fraud and encourages whistleblowing.

LEGAL FRAMEWORK IN THE UK

The Fraud Act, 2006; This legislation specially addresses the criminal offence of fraud, including fraudulent insurance claims. It defines various types of fraud and sets penalties for those found guilty

The Insurance Act 2015; This law requires to treat

customers fairly and disclose all material facts. It includes provisions for the handling of fraudulent claims and ensures that insurers are not unfairly penalized due to fraudsters action.

RBI GUIDELINES FOR INSURANCE FRAUD IN INDIA

The Reserve Bank of India (RBI) has released revised guidelines for fraud risk management for regulated entities (REs), including banks, financial institutions, and non-banking finance firms. The guidelines include:

Reporting: REs must report fraud to law enforcement agencies (LEAs) and the RBI within a specified timeline.

Fraud classification: REs must classify and report additional categories of fraud.

Fraud prevention: REs must develop and review a fraud risk management policy, implement systems for early detection, and establish data analytics units.

Staff accountability: REs must investigate and conclude staff accountability in fraud cases.

Board involvement: Bank boards must be more involved in overseeing fraud prevention

Whistleblower policy: The scope of the whistleblower policy must extend beyond bank staff.

Disclosure: NBFCs and HFCs must disclose frauds.

The RBI is India's central banking institution, and it works with the Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India (IRDAI) and the Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology (MeitY) to regulate the financial industry.

In the UK, insurance fraud is governed by several key guidelines and regulations that ensure fairness and protect both insurers and policyholders. Below are the primary guidelines related to insurance fraud in the UK:

GUILDNES OF INSURANCE FRAUD IN UK

1. The Fraud Act 2006

This is the cornerstone of the legal framework addressing fraud in the UK, including insurance fraud. It criminalizes various fraudulent activities, include

False representation (e.g., lying about an event or damage to make a claim), Failing to disclose information (e.g., omitting relevant details in a claim

Abuse of position (e.g., using one's role to misappropriate funds). Penalties for these offenses can include fines or imprisonment, depending on the severity of the fraud.

2. Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) Guidelines

The FCA regulates financial services, including insurance, and enforces standards to combat fraud

Fair Treatment of Customers: Insurers are required to act fairly, transparently, and honestly with customers, especially when handling claims. The FCA mandates that insurers implement fraud detection systems and training for their employees.

Fraud Prevention Systems: The FCA encourages insurers to use advanced technology to identify and prevent fraudulent claims, such as artificial intelligence, machine learning, and data analytics

3. Insurance Fraud Bureau (IFB)

The IFB is a specialized body focused on tackling organized insurance fraud in the UK. It works with insurers, law enforcement, and government agencies to share data and information about suspected fraud. The IFB helps

Identify trends in fraud. Coordinate investigations.

Provide a Fraud Intelligence Database, which helps insurers identify fraudulent claims more quickly.

Collaborate with organizations like the City of London Police to investigate and prosecute large-scale fraud.

4. Claims and Underwriting Exchange (CUE)

MARITIME LAW AND POLICY REVIEW
VOLUME 2 AND ISSUE 1 OF 2024
APIS – 3920-0016 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-8008
Published by
Institute of Legal Education
https://iledu.in

The CUE is a central database used by insurers to detect multiple or fraudulent claims. It provides a tool for insurers to check if a claimant has made similar claims with other companies. The CUE database helps to flag possible fraudulent behavior such as "ghost" claims or duplicate claims.

5. The Insurance Conduct of Business Sourcebook (ICOBS)

Part of the FCA's regulatory framework, the ICOBS sets out the conduct rules insurers must follow in relation to claims handling and fraud. It includes Transparency in Claims Handling: Insurers must communicate clearly with policyholders, especially when fraud is suspected, to ensure that claims processes are fair.

Anti-Fraud Measures: ICOBS requires insurers to have policies and procedures in place to detect and prevent fraudulent activities.

6. The Association of British Insurers (ABI) Code of Practice

The ABI, which represents the insurance industry in the UK, has developed its own Code of Practice on insurance fraud. It outlines best practices for fraud detection and reporting, including:

Clear Communication: Insurers are encouraged to provide clear information to customers about the consequences of committing fraud

Fraud Detection Tools: The code emphasizes the importance of using modern tools, like data analytics and fraud detection software, to identify fraudulent claims as early as possible.

These guidelines and regulations work together to create a comprehensive framework for identifying, investigating, and preventing insurance fraud in the UK. They balance the need for effective fraud detection with consumer protection, ensuring that insurers maintain trust and fairness in the industry.

For further reading and the most current regulatory updates, you can visit the FCA website, the IFB website, or consult the Fraud Act 2006.

WAYS TO AVOID INSURANCE FRAUD IN INDIA

1. Verify Insurance Details: Thoroughly inspect every detail of the insurance policy before purchase.

2. Protect Sensitive Information: Avoid sharing sensitive information or documents with unverified sources.

3. Avoid Cash Payments: Make premium payments through secure and traceable methods instead of cash.

4. Read the Policy Carefully: Understand all terms and conditions mentioned in the policy document.

5. Document Your Policy: Ensure you have a written record of all your policy documents.

6. Prefer Online Purchases: Use verified internet platforms for insurance acquisition to ensure transparency.

TO AVOID INSURANCE FRUAD IN THE UK

Verify brokers: always check the legitimacy of insurance brokers and policies .look for accredited brokers and avoid deals that seem too good to be true Provide accurate information: ensure all details on insurance applications are truthful and complete to prevent application fraud Stay informed: educate yourself about common fraud tactics, such as staged accidents or ghost broking, to recognize potential scams Report suspicious activity: if you suspect fraud, report to the insurance fraud bureau to help combat fraudulent claims.

CONCLUSION

The comparative study of insurance fraud in India and the UK highlights both shared challenges and unique characteristics within each country's insurance landscape. Insurance fraud is a universal issue that not only inflates premiums for honest customers but also undermines the financial stability and trust in the insurance sector. Both countries recognize the seriousness of the problem and have made

efforts to combat it, but their approaches and outcomes differ significantly due to differences in their regulatory frameworks, technological advancements, public awareness, and socioeconomic conditions.

In the UK, the fight against insurance fraud is supported by a well-established and coordinated system. Institutions like the Insurance Fraud Bureau (IFB) and the Insurance Fraud Enforcement Department (IFED) play a pivotal role in detecting, preventing, and prosecuting fraudulent activities. Advanced technology, coupled with high public awareness, ensures a proactive approach to fraud prevention. The types of fraud in the UK often involve organized schemes, such as "crash-for-cash" scams, reflecting a sophisticated level of criminality.

India, on the other hand, faces a different set of challenges. Fraud in the Indian insurance sector is often driven by document forgery, false claims, and corruption at various levels. The regulatory framework is evolving, and while institutions like the Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India (IRDAI) are taking steps to curb fraud, enforcement remains a challenge. Limited public awareness about the impact of fraud, coupled with systemic inefficiencies, makes tackling the problem more complex.

REFERENCE

- Derring, R.A Insurance Fraud Journal Risk and Insurance, Association of British Insurers
- Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India Publications and Reports on Insurance fruad
- uk insurance fruad bureau fruad